Genetic diversity, population structure, marker validation and kinship analysis for seedling stage cold tolerance in *indica* rice

Sujata Das, Elssa Pandit, M Guru, DK Nayak, S Tasleem, SR Barik, DP Mohanty, SP Mohanty, BC Patra and SK Pradhan*

ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, Odisha, India

*Corresponding author e-mail: pradhancrri@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Cold stress affects rice production globally. In India, around 4 million hectares of rice area are affected by seedling stage cold stress. A panel of 48 indica rice genotypes including all phenotype classes viz. very highly tolerant to susceptible ones were analyzed with linked SSR markers for kinship, population structure and genetic diversity for seedling stage chilling stress tolerance. High level of genetic diversity existed in the panel population. The heterogeneity in the panel population favored the presence of linkage disequilibrium. The population structure could differentiate the panel into two sub-populations separating the tolerant and susceptible lines into separate sub-groups. A lower value of alpha (α =0.0589) indicated that the trait had a common primary ancestor. The three phenotypic groups i.e. susceptible, moderately tolerant and tolerant to very highly tolerant groups were clearly separated out by kinship and cluster analysis. The donor lines in the panel exhibited the presence of multiple QTLs as well as different QTL combination in different lines for the expression of tolerance to cold stress. These tolerant genotypes can be used as donor lines in breeding programs to incorporate different combination of cold stress tolerance QTLs. The molecular markers used in the study were observed to be robust one to identify the highly tolerant, moderately tolerant and susceptible genotypes. Hence, these markers will be useful for marker-assisted breeding programs.

Key words: Genetic diversity, kinship, population structure, seedling stage cold stress tolerance

INTRODUCTION

Cold weather threatens rice production in 24 countries of the world covering around 15 million hectares of rice area. In India, the high altitude areas, northern and north eastern parts are mainly affected by low temperature stress. Indian rice cultivation faces mainly seedling stage cold stress followed by subsequent high temperature at flowering stage (Pradhan et al., 2016). Boro and part of dry season rice of India spreading over around 4 million hectares are affected by such stress subsequently reducing the yield to a considerable extent. The germination process and seedling growth require an optimum temperature ranging from 25-35 °C. When this temperature is reduced to 15°C or below these processes are highly affected (Nakagahra et al., 1997). This may result in poor germination, slow seedling growth, withering, yellowing, reduced tillering and stunted growth (Zhang et al., 2005; Andaya and Tai, 2006; Lou et al., 2007; Suh et al., 2010; Pradhan et al.,

2015). The frequency and extent of such incidence of low temperature stress has been increased across several regions of Asia due to the climate change (Pradhan et al., 2015; Pradhan et al., 2016).

Cold stress tolerance is a complex trait governed by multiple genes/QTLs. Around 30 QTLs were reported to be responsible for cold stress tolerance in rice (Kwak et al., 1984; Nagamine, 1991; Misawa et al., 2000; Qian et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2000; Qu et al., 2003; Andaya and Mackill, 2003; Fujino et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2005; Andaya and Tai, 2006; Jiang et al., 2006; Han et al., 2007; Lou et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2008; Koseki et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Suh et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2014). Pyramiding of these QTLs in superior high yielding background can help overcoming the problem under the changing climate scenario. But lack of availability of robust markers for the QTLs and strong donors having many QTLs responsible for the trait in single background are major limitations for

marker-assisted breeding program. To overcome this problem, screening and identification of strong donors is a necessary step. There are reports on screening and identification of cold tolerant rice genotypes (Kwak et al., 1984; Nagamine, 1991; Misawa et al., 2000; Qian et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2000; Ou et al., 2003; Andava and Mackill, 2003; Fujino et al., 2004; Zhan et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Andaya and Tai, 2006; Jiang et al., 2006; Han et al., 2007; Lou et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2008; Koseki et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Suh et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2014; Pradhan et al., 2015; Pandit et al., 2017). The genetic diversity in rice is usually studied by using SSR molecular markers (Wu and Tanksley, 1993; Xiao et al., 1996; Panaud et al., 1996; Olufowote et al., 1997; Thanh et al., 1999; Herrera et al., 2008; Pervaiz et al., 2010; Das et al., 2013; Babu et al., 2014 and Pradhan et al., 2016). The robust markers that can distinguish different genotypes for their cold stress tolerance needs to be identified. Also, the genetic diversity among parental lines should be assessed before selection of suitable parental lines in a breeding program.

In the present investigation, we have assessed the 48 *indica* rice lines for their genetic diversity, population structure using 33 linked SSR markers for identification of suitable donor lines to be used in breeding programs for developing chilling stress tolerant high yielding lines. Also, robust markers identified can be used in marker-assisted breeding programs for cold tolerance in rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Forty eight *indica* rice genotypes were used in the present study comprising all phenotypic classes of cold stress tolerance *i.e.*, very highly tolerant, highly tolerant, tolerant, moderately tolerant and susceptible (Pradhan et al., 2016; Pandit et al., 2017). The list of genotypes is presented in Table 1.

DNA isolation and selection of SSR markers

Leaf samples were collected from 21 days old seedlings of 48 genotypes (Table 1). Total genomic DNA of the genotypes were isolated using liquid nitrogen for grinding using CTAB extraction buffer (100mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 20mM EDTA pH 8, 1.3M NaCl, 2% CTAB) and chloroform-Isoamyl alcohol extraction followed by RNAase treatment and ethanol precipitation (Murray and Thompson 1980). The DNA samples were diluted to approximately $30ng/\mu L$ after estimation of DNA concentration. Thirty three linked SSR markers were used to select the seedling stage cold tolerance.

PCR amplification and visualization of markers linked to seedling stage chilling stress

Polymerase chain reaction was performed by taking 20 µl aliquot using 1.5mM Tris HCL (pH 8.75), 50mM KCL, 2mM MgCl₂, 0.1% TrotonX-100, 200µM each of dATP, dCTP, dTTP, dGTP, 4pmole of each forward and reverse primers (Table 2), 1 unit of Tag polymerase and 30ng of genomic DNA. A Programmable thermal cycler was used for amplification of genomic DNA samples (Veriti, Applied BioSciences). First, the reaction mixture was denatured for 4 min at 94°C and then continued to 35 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 94°C, 1 min annealing at 55°C, 1 min extension at 72°C; and then a final extension for 10 mins at 72°C. Agarose gel of 2.5% containing 0.8 g/ml Ethidium Bromide was used for electrophoresis. Aliquots of 10 µl of the products from PCR amplification were loaded in 2.5% in 1X TBE (pH 8.0). Size of amplicons was determined by the 50bp DNA ladder. The gel was run at 60 volts (2.5V/ cm) for 4 hours and photographed using a Gel-Doc System (SynGene).

Genetic diversity and population structure

Data were scored on the basis of presence or absence of the alleles for each genotype-primer combination. PowerMarker Ver3.25 program was used for data analysis to generate number of alleles, allele frequency, gene diversity, heterozygosis and polymorphic information index (PIC) (Lu et al., 2005). STRUCTURE 2.3.4 software a model based approach was used for data analysis to obtain possible population structure (Pritchard et al., 2000). The model choice criterion to detect the most probable value of K was ΔK , an ad hoc quantity related to the second-order change of the log probability of data with respect to the number of clusters inferred by STRUCTURE (Evanno et al., 2005). Structure Harvester was used for estimation of the ΔK value as function of K showing a clear peak as the optimal K value (Earl and Von, 2012). Principal Coordinate analysis and unrooted tree

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic relatedness/ kinship by principal coordinate and cluster analyses

Cluster analysis was carried out to assess genetic distance and genetic relatedness using UPGMA method. The tree constituted three major clusters (Fig. 1) of which cluster I can be grouped as the tolerant to very highly tolerant, whereas cluster II and III can be grouped as moderately tolerant and susceptible ones, respectively. Cluster I consisted 16 genotypes accommodating all the tolerant to very highly tolerant genotypes (red encircled) in the tree except Chakhaopspoireitol which is moderately tolerant to chilling stress (Fig. 1). The moderately tolerant lines are mainly observed in cluster II along with three susceptible types (green encircled). This cluster included 19 genotypes of which 16 are moderately tolerant and 3 are susceptible to chilling stress. Similarly, the cluster III contained all the sensitive genotypes to chilling stress along with three moderately tolerant genotypes (pink encircled). The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) separately placed the tolerant and susceptible genotypes in four different quadrants (Fig. 2). All the tolerant, highly tolerant and very highly tolerant genotypes were placed in the 2nd and 3rd quadrant (red encircled) except Bamak and Geetanjali that were placed along with all the moderately tolerant



Fig. 1. UPGMA tree showing the genetic relationship among 48 genotypes based on 33 cold stress linked SSR markers.

| Sl.No. | Name of genotype | Response to chilling stress | Sl.No. | Name of genotype | Response to chilling stress |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Geetanjali | Very Highly Tolerant | 25 | Photem | Moderately Tolerant |
| 2 | Sahabhagidhan | highly Susceptible | 26 | Napdai | Moderately Susceptible |
| 3 | Satabdi | Moderately Tolerant | 27 | Changphoi | Moderately Tolerant |
| 4 | Kamesh | Highly Tolerant | 28 | Aujari | Moderately Tolerant |
| 5 | Vandana | Moderately Tolerant | 29 | Khangkuailang | Moderately Tolerant |
| 6 | Paun | Highly Tolerant | 30 | Mayangkhang | Moderately Tolerant |
| 7 | Radang | Highly Tolerant | 31 | Langmenti | Moderately Tolerant |
| 8 | Serum | Highly Tolerant | 32 | Japanphou | Moderately Tolerant |
| 9 | Manipuridhan | Highly Tolerant | 33 | Gayatri | Moderately Susceptible |
| 10 | Rungpchi | Highly Tolerant | 34 | Naveen | Moderately Susceptible |
| 11 | Langphou-phougang | Moderately Tolerant | 35 | IR64 | Moderately Tolerant |
| 12 | Charmui | Highly Tolerant | 36 | Phouurel | Moderately Susceptible |
| 13 | Umleng-1 | Very Highly Tolerant | 37 | Chakhaopspoireitol | Moderately Tolerant |
| 14 | Tazek | Highly Tolerant | 38 | Ranidhan | Moderately Susceptible |
| 15 | Mopu | Highly Tolerant | 39 | Tejaswini | Moderately Susceptible |
| 16 | Lagmin | Highly Tolerant | 40 | Virendra | Moderately Tolerant |
| 17 | Umbo | Highly Tolerant | 41 | Swarna | Moderately Susceptible |
| 18 | Langme-1 | Highly Tolerant | 42 | Tapaswini | Moderately Susceptible |
| 19 | Bamak | Highly Tolerant | 43 | Phourelangab | Moderately Tolerant |
| 20 | Changlei-1 | Moderately Susceptible | 44 | Pratikshya | Moderately Susceptible |
| 21 | Sangsangba-1 | Moderately Tolerant | 45 | Langma | Very Highly Tolerant |
| 22 | Uteibi | Moderately Tolerant | 46 | Tabadugu | Tolerant |
| 23 | Sadabahar | Moderately Susceptible | 47 | PhourelAngoubi | Moderately Tolerant |
| 24 | Thingjangra | Moderately Tolerant | 48 | Phougang | Moderately Tolerant |

Table 1. List of 48 indica rice lines used in the present study and their response to seedling stage chilling stress tolerance.



Fig. 2. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of panel population based on seedling stage cold tolerance linked 33 SSR markers.

genotypes in the 4th quadrant. The susceptible genotypes were placed in the 1st quadrant (Fig. 2).

Genetic diversity

A panel of 48 genotypes comprising tolerant and susceptible types was genotyped using 33 linked SSR markers for chilling stress tolerance. All the loci used and their genetic diversity parameters obtained are presented in Table 2. In toto, 136 amplicons were obtained with 33 markers. An average of 4.1 alleles per locus was detected with a range of 1 to 9 per marker. The PIC value ranged from 0.2067 (RM6651) to 0.7341 (RM297) with an average of 0.5575. The observed average heterozygosity (H₂) was 0.1659 which varied between 0.00 and 0.89. Seven markers showed H value to be zero, whereas rest 26 markers showed H value higher than zero. The average gene diversity or average heterozygosis (H₁) was 0.6142 ranging between 0.2342 (RM6651) and 0.7712 (RM297). The major allele frequency of these linked polymorphic markers ranged from 0.2979 to 0.8646 with an average of 0.4971 (Table 2).

Population structure

The population panel was analyzed for genetic structure on the Bayesian clustering approach taking probable

sub-populations (K) and selecting higher ΔK value, an ad hoc quantity related to the second order change of the log probability of data for the number of clusters detected by Structure (Evano et al., 2005). A high ΔK peak value of 410.18 was observed among the assumed K at K=3 as per the Evano table output (Fig. 3; Table 3). Another peak was also observed at K=5 with ΔK value of 141.87. Hence, both the K values were tested for grouping of the genotypes into different subpopulations. At K=2, the sub-population 1 (SP1) contained 15 genotypes with 13 pure and 2 admixture types, accommodating highly and very highly tolerant genotypes to seedling stage cold tolerance. A total of 33 genotypes present in sub-population 2 (SP2) representing susceptible and moderately tolerant subpopulation were with all pure type to the sub-population (Fig. 3; Table 4). Maximum allele frequency divergence between populations observed between the two groups, SP1 and SP2 was 0.1982. The fixation index values (F_{sT}) of the sub-populations were found to be 0.4246 and 0.5863 for SP1 and SP2 respectively. Further, the program exhibited a lower value of alpha (α =0.0589) in the population panel. At K=5, the SP1, SP2, SP3, SP4 and SP5 consisted 12, 10, 6, 10 and 10 no. of genotypes respectively. SP1, SP2, SP4 and SP5 included one admix type each, whereas SP3 included all pure

| Marker | Major allele frequency | No. of alleles detected | Gene Diversity | Heterozygosity | PIC | f |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|---------|
| RM3602 | 0.4167 | 4.0 | 0.7081 | 0.0833 | 0.6585 | 0.8846 |
| RM1347 | 0.4583 | 5.0 | 0.6808 | 0.1042 | 0.6299 | 0.8499 |
| RM5746 | 0.3750 | 4.0 | 0.6777 | 0.0625 | 0.6115 | 0.9096 |
| RM5704 | 0.3750 | 5.0 | 0.6834 | 0.2708 | 0.6237 | 0.6103 |
| RM286 | 0.4792 | 6.0 | 0.7086 | 0.1667 | 0.6776 | 0.7691 |
| RM297 | 0.2979 | 5.0 | 0.7712 | 0.1277 | 0.7341 | 0.8377 |
| RM328 | 0.5263 | 3.0 | 0.6053 | 0.0000 | 0.5344 | 1.0000 |
| RM152 | 0.3542 | 5.0 | 0.7424 | 0.3125 | 0.6991 | 0.5860 |
| RM1341 | 0.5000 | 4.0 | 0.6300 | 0.0625 | 0.5666 | 0.9028 |
| RM84 | 0.3936 | 5.0 | 0.7325 | 0.0426 | 0.6903 | 0.9431 |
| RM472 | 0.6667 | 3.0 | 0.4783 | 0.0000 | 0.4091 | 1.0000 |
| RM85 | 0.3438 | 4.0 | 0.7250 | 0.1458 | 0.6736 | 0.8026 |
| RM341 | 0.4375 | 3.0 | 0.6241 | 0.0000 | 0.5448 | 1.0000 |
| RM561 | 0.6596 | 3.0 | 0.4989 | 0.0000 | 0.4401 | 1.0000 |
| RM493 | 0.4468 | 5.0 | 0.6956 | 0.1702 | 0.6478 | 0.7599 |
| RM7003 | 0.8125 | 3.0 | 0.3223 | 0.0625 | 0.2989 | 0.8097 |
| RM14978 | 0.4583 | 3.0 | 0.6398 | 0.0000 | 0.5664 | 1.0000 |
| RM2799 | 0.5978 | 2.0 | 0.4809 | 0.0652 | 0.3652 | 0.8671 |
| RM506 | 0.4271 | 4.0 | 0.6656 | 0.0208 | 0.6009 | 0.9693 |
| RM284 | 0.4167 | 3.0 | 0.6554 | 0.0000 | 0.5817 | 1.0000 |
| RM50 | 0.3854 | 4.0 | 0.7070 | 0.0417 | 0.6525 | 0.9423 |
| RM3648 | 0.3936 | 5.0 | 0.7035 | 0.8936 | 0.6533 | -0.2603 |
| RM239 | 0.4583 | 5.0 | 0.5838 | 0.7292 | 0.4949 | -0.2392 |
| RM2634 | 0.5208 | 6.0 | 0.6684 | 0.2500 | 0.6334 | 0.6323 |
| RM1812 | 0.4091 | 9.0 | 0.7567 | 0.0909 | 0.7279 | 0.8824 |
| RM558 | 0.6875 | 4.0 | 0.4844 | 0.2500 | 0.4432 | 0.4919 |
| RM4154 | 0.5106 | 5.0 | 0.6096 | 0.0426 | 0.5403 | 0.9316 |
| RM5312 | 0.5532 | 4.0 | 0.6041 | 0.0426 | 0.5463 | 0.9310 |
| RM3701 | 0.4583 | 4.0 | 0.6799 | 0.5000 | 0.6273 | 0.2744 |
| RM590 | 0.8542 | 2.0 | 0.2491 | 0.0000 | 0.2181 | 1.0000 |
| RM1113 | 0.5313 | 2.0 | 0.4980 | 0.1042 | 0.3740 | 0.7948 |
| RM6651 | 0.8646 | 2.0 | 0.2342 | 0.2708 | 0.2067 | -0.1463 |
| RM9 | 0.3333 | 5.0 | 0.7632 | 0.5625 | 0.7249 | 0.2728 |
| Mean | 0.4971 | 4.1 | 0.6142 | 0.1659 | 0.5575 | 0.7348 |

Table 2. Details of 33 SSR markers used for genotyping the panel indica rice genotypes and their genetic diversity parameters.

type genotypes.

Cold tolerance breeding for seedling stage is important in both boro and dry season rice (Pandit et al., 2017). Existence of genetic diversity for the trait is an essential step for developing low temperature stress tolerant high yielding variety that can be cultivated during dry season. The panel population under the present study was diverse in terms of their response to chilling stress including 3 very highly tolerant, 13 highly tolerant, 1 tolerant, 19 moderately tolerant and 12 moderate to highly susceptible genotypes. The molecular diversity study also showed moderate to high genetic diversity with average PIC value more than 0.5 with maximum range of 0.734. Around 74% markers showed PIC value more than 0.5 indicating their ability to classify the genotypes. Moderate to high level of genetic diversity

Table 3. Evanno table output showing delta K value todetermine the inferred number of sub-populations (K).

| K | Reps | Mean LnP(K) | Stdev LnP(K) | Ln'(K) | Ln"(K) | Delta K |
|----|------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|
| 1 | 10 | -3599.55 | 0.925263 | - | - | - |
| 2 | 10 | -3115 | 0.514242 | 484.55 | 210.93 | 410.1768 |
| 3 | 10 | -2841.38 | 0.667999 | 273.62 | 144.5 | 216.3178 |
| 4 | 10 | -2712.26 | 7.998639 | 129.12 | 7.14 | 0.892652 |
| 5 | 10 | -2576 | 0.945163 | 136.26 | 134.09 | 141.8697 |
| 6 | 10 | -2573.83 | 215.0266 | 2.17 | 126.32 | 0.587462 |
| 7 | 10 | -2445.34 | 13.34584 | 128.49 | 75.56 | 5.661688 |
| 8 | 10 | -2392.41 | 19.67906 | 52.93 | 16.17 | 0.821686 |
| 9 | 10 | -2355.65 | 22.15713 | 36.76 | 30.9 | 1.394585 |
| 10 | 10 | -2287.99 | 23.46787 | 67.66 | - | - |

for various traits were earlier reported in rice (Garris et al., 2003; Agrama and Eizenga, 2008; Jin et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2013; Salgotra et al.,

Oryza Vol. 55 No. 3, 2018 (396-405)

| Sl No | Name of Genotype | Response | Inferred ancestry at K=2 | | Inferred ancestry at K=5 | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | 51 | to seedling | Q1 | Q2 | Structure | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Structure |
| | | stage cold | | | group | | | | | | group |
| | | stress | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Geetanjali | VHT | 0.016 | 0.984 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.977 | 0.011 | SP4 |
| 2 | Sahabhagidhan | HS | 0.008 | 0.992 | SP2 | 0.009 | 0.618 | 0.329 | 0.04 | 0.003 | SP2 |
| 3 | Satabdi | ΜT | 0.041 | 0.959 | SP2 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.031 | 0.859 | 0.091 | SP4 |
| 4 | Kamesh | HT | 0.07 | 0.93 | SP2 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.943 | 0.044 | SP4 |
| 5 | Vandana | ΜT | 0.049 | 0.951 | SP2 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.962 | 0.023 | SP4 |
| 6 | Paun | HT | 0.981 | 0.019 | SP1 | 0.928 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.018 | 0.038 | SP1 |
| 7 | Radang | НT | 0.997 | 0.003 | SP1 | 0.985 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.002 | SP1 |
| 8 | Serum | HT | 0.992 | 0.008 | SP1 | 0.975 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.018 | SP1 |
| 9 | Manipuridhan | HT | 0.998 | 0.002 | SP1 | 0.994 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | SP1 |
| 10 | Rungpchi | НT | 0.949 | 0.051 | SP1 | 0.945 | 0.02 | 0.014 | 0.016 | 0.004 | SP1 |
| 11 | Langphou-phougang | ΜT | 0.003 | 0.997 | SP2 | 0.006 | 0.975 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.011 | SP2 |
| 12 | Charmui | НT | 0.998 | 0.002 | SP1 | 0.995 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | SP1 |
| 13 | Umleng-1 | VHT | 0.999 | 0.001 | SP1 | 0.996 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | SP1 |
| 14 | Tazek | НT | 0.984 | 0.016 | SP1 | 0.956 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.028 | 0.003 | SP1 |
| 15 | Mopu | НT | 0.997 | 0.003 | SP1 | 0.994 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | SP1 |
| 16 | Lagmin | НT | 0.602 | 0.398 | SP1 | 0.043 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.952 | 0.001 | SP4 |
| 17 | Umbo | НТ | 0.994 | 0.006 | SP1 | 0.988 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.002 | SP1 |
| 18 | Langme-1 | НT | 0.998 | 0.002 | SP1 | 0.994 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | SP1 |
| 19 | Bamak | НТ | 0.06 | 0.94 | SP1 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.477 | 0.503 | 0.004 | SP4 |
| 20 | Changlei-1 | MS | 0.002 | 0.998 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.994 | 0.001 | 0.002 | SP3 |
| 21 | Sangsangba-1 | ΜТ | 0.002 | 0.998 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.045 | 0.947 | 0.004 | 0.003 | SP3 |
| 22 | Uteibi | ΜТ | 0.003 | 0.997 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.98 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.004 | SP2 |
| 23 | Sadabahar | MS | 0.003 | 0.997 | SP2 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.039 | 0.005 | 0.946 | SP5 |
| 24 | Thingiangra | МΤ | 0.015 | 0.985 | SP2 | 0.008 | 0.98 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.002 | SP2 |
| 25 | Photem | ΜТ | 0.019 | 0.981 | SP2 | 0.007 | 0.986 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.001 | SP2 |
| 26 | Napdai | MS | 0.004 | 0.996 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.985 | 0.008 | 0.002 | SP3 |
| 27 | Changphoi | ΜТ | 0.004 | 0.996 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.994 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | SP2 |
| 28 | Auiari | ΜТ | 0.012 | 0.988 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.975 | 0.017 | 0.004 | 0.003 | SP2 |
| 29 | Khangkuailang | ΜТ | 0.004 | 0.996 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.993 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 | SP2 |
| 30 | Mavangkhang | ΜТ | 0.005 | 0.995 | SP2 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.986 | 0.005 | 0.004 | SP3 |
| 31 | Langmenti | ΜТ | 0.01 | 0.99 | SP2 | 0.004 | 0.944 | 0.033 | 0.003 | 0.016 | SP2 |
| 32 | Japanphou | ΜТ | 0.004 | 0.996 | SP2 | 0.005 | 0.028 | 0.96 | 0.002 | 0.004 | SP3 |
| 33 | Gavatri | MS | 0.005 | 0.995 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.994 | SP5 |
| 34 | Naveen | MS | 0.002 | 0.998 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.993 | SP5 |
| 35 | IR64 | ΜТ | 0.003 | 0.997 | SP2 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.99 | SP5 |
| 36 | Phouurel | MS | 0.005 | 0.995 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 0.126 | 0.833 | 0.004 | SP4 |
| 37 | Chakhaopspoireitol | ΜТ | 0.032 | 0.968 | SP2 | 0.003 | 0.104 | 0.008 | 0.874 | 0.011 | SP4 |
| 38 | Ranidhan | MS | 0.021 | 0.979 | SP2 | 0.014 | 0.033 | 0.007 | 0.038 | 0.908 | SP5 |
| 39 | Tejaswini | MS | 0.006 | 0.994 | SP2 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.003 | 0.955 | SP5 |
| 40 | Virendra | ΜT | 0.004 | 0.996 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.99 | SP5 |
| 41 | Swarna | MS | 0.031 | 0.969 | SP2 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.98 | SP5 |
| 42 | Tapaswini | MS | 0.005 | 0.995 | SP2 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.979 | SP5 |
| 43 | Phourelangab | ΜТ | 0.008 | 0.992 | SP2 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.932 | 0.056 | 0.002 | SP3 |
| 44 | Pratikshya | MS | 0.075 | 0.925 | SP2 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.362 | 0.624 | SP5 |
| 45 | Langma | VHT | 0.994 | 0.006 | SP1 | 0.967 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.022 | 0.007 | SP1 |
| 46 | Tabadugu | Т | 0.512 | 0.488 | SP1 | 0.031 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.963 | 0.001 | SP4 |
| 47 | PhourelAngoubi | ΜT | 0.053 | 0.947 | SP2 | 0.007 | 0.482 | 0.024 | 0.485 | 0.002 | SP4 |
| 48 | Phougang | ΜT | 0.007 | 0.993 | SP2 | 0.005 | 0.728 | 0.248 | 0.015 | 0.004 | SP2 |

Table 4. The inferred ancestry value and population structure in the panel population containing 48 genotypes.

VHT: Very highly tolerant, HT: Highly tolerant, T: Tolerant, MT: Moderately Tolerant, MS: Moderately Susceptible, HS: Highly susceptible.

2015). The PCoA and UPGMA cluster analysis using 33 chilling stress linked molecular markers could

differentiate the genotypes according to their response to the cold stress. The tolerant, moderately tolerant and



Fig. 3. (A) Graph of estimated membership probability fraction for K = 2 and K = 5. The maximum of adhoc measure ?K determined by structure harvester was found to be K = 2 with a another peak at K=5, (B) Population structure of a panel based inferred ancestry at K = 2 and (C) Population structure of a panel based inferred ancestry at K = 5.

susceptible ones were clearly grouped into different clusters/quadrants (Fig. 1 & 2). This indicates the effectiveness of these markers to distinguish the tolerant genotypes from moderately tolerant and susceptible ones. The QTLs associated with these markers are effectively expressing in these genotypes and can be used for pyramiding to other high yielding backgrounds for developing high yielding chilling stress tolerant genotypes. Different sub-groups obtained with these linked markers suggested that there might be existence of many genes/QTLs for chilling stress tolerance in the selected population. This also suggested that expression of different gene(s)/QTL(s) and their possible combinations in this panel might be responsible for the level of tolerance of these genotypes for seedling stage chilling stress tolerance. As this study indicated presence of multiple QTLs for the trait, it was important to study the population structure of the panel population for the trait. The panel population could be grouped into two sub-populations separating the tolerant genotypes from the susceptible ones. A lower value of alpha (α =0.0589) was detected from which it can be inferred that in most of the landraces, the trait had a common primary ancestor. Similar opinions were also reported in previous publications (Mather et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2013; Pradhan et al., 2016, Pandit et al.,

2017). Very high FST values obtained for SP1 (0.4246) and SP2 (0.5863) suggested higher genetic variation among the genotypes in the sub groups. The significant FST among the clusters indicate a real variation in these clusters (Pandit et al., 2017).

In the present study, moderate to high level of genetic diversity for seedling stage chilling tolerance was noticed by using the panel population. The population structure analysis revealed entire population could be into two sub-populations differentiating the tolerant lines from the susceptible ones. Further, it could be concluded that most of the genotypes had a common primary ancestor for the trait. The donor lines in the panel exhibited the presence of different QTLs for the expression of tolerance for cold stress. Hence, these tolerant genotypes can be used as donor lines in breeding programs. The linked molecular markers that could clearly classify the highly tolerant, moderately tolerant and susceptible genotypes will be useful for markerassisted breeding programs to develop cold tolerant lines.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank, Head, Crop Improvement Division and Director, ICAR-National Rice Research Institute,

Oryza Vol. 55 No. 3, 2018 (396-405)

Cuttack, Odisha for extending full support in providing facilities for the research work.

REFERENCES

- Agrama HA, Eizenga GC and Yan W (2007). Association mapping of yield and its components in rice cultivars. Mol Breeding. 19(4): 341-356 DOI: 10.1007/ s11032-006-9066-6
- Anandan A, Anumalla M, Pradhan SK and Ali J (2016). Population Structure, Diversity and Trait Association Analysis in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Germplasm for Early Seedling Vigor (ESV) Using Trait Linked SSR Markers. PLoS ONE 11(3): e0152406. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152406
- Anandan A, Pradhan SK, Das SK, Behera L and Sangeetha G (2015). Differential responses of rice genotypes and physiological mechanism under prolonged deepwater flooding. Field Crops Res. 172: 153-163
- Andaya VC and Mackill DJ (2003). Mapping of QTLs associated with cold tolerance during the vegetative stage in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 54: 2579-2585
- Antonio ANA, Paulo HNR and Marcio EF (2005). Mapping of quantitative trait loci for thermosensitive genic male sterility in indica rice. Pesq. Agropec. Bras Brasília 40(12): 1179-1188
- Argayoso MA, Redona EYC and Jagadish K (2011). Mapping of heat tolerance quantitative loci (QTL) at flowering stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Philippine Journal of Crop Science (Philippines) pp. 0116-463 Xttp://agris.fao.org/agris search/search.do? record ID=PH2013000629
- Babu BK, Meena V, Agarwal V and Agrawal PK (2014).
 Population structure and genetic diversity analysis of Indian and exotic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) accessions using SSR markers. Mol Biol Rep. 41(7): 4328-39 doi: 10.1007/s110330143304
- Bonnecarrère V, Quero G, Monteverde E, Rosas J, Vida FP, Cruz M, Corredor E, Garaycochea S, Monza J and Borsani O (2015). Candidate gene markers associated with cold tolerance in vegetative stage of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Euphytica 203: 385 doi:10.1007/s10681-014-1290-2
- Bui CB, Pham TTH, Bui P, Tran TN, Nguyen VH, Nguyen TP et al. (2014). Quantitative Trait Loci Associated with Heat Tolerance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Breeding and Biotechnology 2: 14-24
- Cardon LR and Bell JI (2001). Association study designs for complex diseases. Nat Rev Genet. 2(2): 91-99 doi:

10.1038/35052543 PMID: 11253062

- Das B, Sengupta S, Parida SK, Roy B, Ghosh M, Prasad M et al. (2013). (Genetic diversity and population structure of rice landraces from Eastern and North Eastern States of India. BMC Genetics. 14: 71.doi: 10.1186/147121561471 PMID: 23945062
- Department of Agriculture and Cooperation (DAC) (2015). Agriculture statistics at a glance, Directorate of Economics and statics, DAC, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India
- Flint-Garcia S, Thuillet AC, Yu JM, Gael-Pressoir G, Romero S, Mitchell SE et al. (2005). Maize association population: a high-resolution platform for quantitative trait locus dissection. Plant J. 44: 1054-1064. PMID: 16359397
- Flint-Garcia SA, Thornsberry JM and Buckler ES (2003). Structure of linkage disequilibrium in plants. Annu Rev. Plant Biol. 54(1): 357-374 doi:10.1146/ annurev.arplant.54.031902.134907
- Food and Agricultural Organisation. FAOSTAT Database. Rome (2013). Food and Agricultural Organization. Yearbook
- Fujino K, Sekiguchi H, Sato T, Kiuchi H, Nonoue Y, Takeuchi Y, Ando T, Lin S and Yano M (2004). Mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling low-temperature germinability in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 108: 794-799
- Han LZ, Qiao YL, Cao GL, Zhang YY, An YP, Ye JD and Koh HJ (2007). QTL analysis of cold tolerance during early growth period for rice. Rice Sci. 11(5-6): 245-250
- Herrera TG, Duque DP, Almeida IP, Núñez GT, Pieters AJ, Martinez CP and Tohme JM (2008). Assessment of genetic diversity in Venezuelan rice cultivars using simple sequence repeats markers. Electron. J. Biotechnology 11(5): 1-14
- Huang X, Wei X, Sang T et al. (2010) Genome-wide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice landraces. Nat. Genet. 42: 961-967
- Huang X, Zhao Y, Wei X et al. (2012). Genome-wide association study of flowering time and grain yield traits in a worldwide collection of rice germplasm. Nat. Genet. 44: 32-39
- Jafar A, Fotokian MH and Fabriki-Orang S (2008). Detection of QTLs Influencing Panicle Length, Panicle Grain Number and Panicle Grain Sterility in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Crop Sci. Biotech. 11(3): 163-170

- Jagadish S, Crauford PQ and Wheeler TR (2007). High temperature stress and spikelet fertility in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Exp. Bot. 58: 1627-35 PMID: 17431025
- Jiang L, Liu SJ, Hou MY, Tang JY, Chen LM, Zhai HQ and Wan JM (2006). Analysis of QTLs for seed low temperature germinability and anoxia germinability in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Field Crop Res. 98: 68-75
- Jiang L, Xun MM, Wang JK and Wan JM (2008). QTL analysis of cold tolerance at seedling stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using recombination inbred lines. J. Cereal. Sci. 48: 173-179
- Kim S, Suh J, Lee C, Lee J, Kim Y and Jena KK (2014). QTL mapping and development of candidate genederived DNA markers associated with seedling cold tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Mol. Genet. Genomics 289: 333-343 DOI 10.1007/s00438-014-0813-9
- Kumar V, Singh A, Mithra ASV, Krishnamurthy SL, Parida SK, Jain S, Tiwari KK, Kumar P, Rao AR, Sharma SK, Khurana JP, Singh NK and Mohapatra T (2015). Genome-wide association mapping of salinity tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa*). DNA Research pp.1-13 doi:10.1093/dnares/dsu046.
- Kwak TS, Vergara BS, Nanda JS and CoVman WR (1984). Inheritance of seedling cold tolerance in rice. SABRAO J. 16: 83-86
- Liao J, Zhang H, Shao X, Zhong P and Huang Y (2011). Identification on Heat Tolerance in Backcross Recombinant Lines and Screening of Backcross Introgression Lines with Heat Tolerance at Milky Stage in Rice. Rice Science 18(4): 279-286
- Lou Q, Chen L, Sun Z, Xing Y, Li J, Xu X, Mei H and Luo L (2007). A major QTL associated with cold tolerance at seedling stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Euphytica 158: 87-94
- Misawa S, Mori N, Takumi S, Yoshida S and Nakamura C (2000). Mapping of QTLs for low temperature response in seedlings of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Cereal Res. Commun. 28: 33-40
- Murray MG and Thompson WF (1980). Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 8(19): 4321-4325. PMID: 7433111
- Muthukumar C, Subathra T, Aiswarya Gayatri V and Babu RC (2015). Comparative genome-wide association studies for plant production traits under drought in diverse rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) lines using SNP and SSR markers. Current Science 109 (1): 139-147

- N'Goran JAK, Laurent V, Risterucci AM and Lanaud C (2000). The genetic structure of cocoa populations (*Theobroma cacao* L.) revealed by RFLP analysis. Euphytica 115: 83-90
- Nagamine T (1991). Genetic control to tolerance to chilling injury in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Japan J. Breed. 41: 3540
- Olufowote JO, Xu Y, Chen X, Park WD, Beachell HM, Goto M and McCouch SR (1997). Comparative evaluation of within cultivar variation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using microsatellite and RFLP markers. Genome 40: 370-378
- Pan Y, Zhang H, Zhang D, Li J, Xiong H, Yu J et al. (2015). Genetic Analysis of Cold Tolerance at the Germination and Booting Stages in Rice by Association Mapping. PLoS ONE 10(3): e0120590. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120590.
- Panaud O, McCouch SR and Chen X (1996). Development of microsatellite markers and characterization of simple sequence length polymorphism (SSLP) in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Mol Gen Genet. 252: 597-607 PMID: 8914521.
- Pervaiz ZH, Rabbani MA, Khaliq I, Pearce SR and Malik SA (2010). Genetic diversity associated with agronomic traits using microsatellite markers in Pakistani rice landraces. Electron. J. Biotechnology 13(3): 1-14
- Pradhan SK, Barik SR, Sahoo A, Mohapatra S, Nayak DK, Mahender A et al. (2016). Population Structure, Genetic Diversity and Molecular Marker-Trait Association Analysis for High Temperature Stress Tolerance in Rice. PLoS ONE 11 (8), e0160027. oi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160027.
- Pradhan SK, Nayak DK, Guru M, Pandit E, Das S, Barik SR, Mohanty SP and Anandan A (2015). Screening and classification of genotypes for seedling-stage chilling stress tolerance in rice and validation of the trait using SSR markers. Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization doi:10.1017/S1479262115000192
- Qian Q, Zeng D, He P, Zheng X, Chen Y and Zhu L (2000). QTL analysis of the rice seedling cold tolerance in a double haploid population derived from anther culture of a hybrid between indica and japonica rice. Chin. Sci. Bull. 45: 448-453
- Roy JK, Bandopadhyay R, Rustgi S, Balyan HS and Gupta PK (2006). Association analysis of agronomically important traits using SSR, SAMPL and AFLP markers in bread wheat. Curr. Sci. 90(5): 683-689

- Stich B, Melchinger AE, Frisch M, Maurer HP, Heckenberger M and Reif JC (2005). Linkage disequilibrium in European elite maize germplasm investigated with SSRs. Theor. Appl. Genet. 111(4): 723-730. doi:10.1007/s00122-005-2057-x PMID: 15997389.
- Suh JP, Jeung JU, Lee JI, Choi YH, Yea JD, Virk PS, Mackill DJ and Jena KK (2010). Identification and analysis of QTLs controlling cold tolerance at the reproductive stage and validation of effective QTLs in cold-tolerant genotypes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 120: 985-995
- Suh JP, Lee CK, Lee JH, Kim JJ, Kim SM, Cho YC, Park SH, Shin JC, Kim YG and Jena KK (2012). Identification of quantitative trait loci for seedling cold tolerance using RILs derived from a cross between japonica and tropical japonica rice cultivars. Euphytica 184: 101-108 DOI: 10.1007/s10681-011-0575-y
- Suh JP, Lee CK, Lee JH, Kim JJ, Kim SM, Cho YC, Park SH, Shin JC, Kim YG and Jena KK (2011). Identification of quantitative trait loci for seedling cold tolerance using RILs derived from a cross between japonica and tropical japonica rice cultivars. Euphytica 184: 101. doi:10.1007/s10681-011-0575-y
- Thanh ND, Zheng HG, Dong NV, Trinh LN, Ali ML and Nguyen HT (1999). Genetic variation in root morphology and microsatellite DNA loci in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) from Vietnam. Euphytica 105: 43-51
- Wang Z, Wang F, Zhou R, Wang J and Zhan H (2011). Identification of quantitative trait loci for cold tolerance during the germination and seedling stages in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Euphytica 181: 404-413
- Watkins WS, Rogers AR, Ostler CT, Wooding S, Bamshad MJ, Brassington AME, Carroll ML, Nguyen SV, Walker JA, Prasad BVR, Reddy PG, Das PK, Batzer MA and Jordel LB (2003). Genetic variation among world populations: inferences from 100 Alu insertion polymorphisms. Genome Res. 13: 1607-1618
- Wei H, Liu J, Wang Y, Huang N, Zhang X, Wang L et al. (2013). A dominant major locus in chromosome 9 of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) confers tolerance to 48°C high temperature at seedling stage. Journal of Heredity 104(2): 287-94. doi: 10.1093/jhered/ess103 PMID: 23258571
- Wu KS and Tanksley SD (1993). Abundance, polymorphism and genetic mapping of microsatellites in rice. Mol.

Gen. Genet. 241: 225-235

- Wu KS, Tanksley SD (1996). Abundance, polymorphism and genetic mapping of microsatellites in rice. Mol. Gen. Genet. 241: 225-235. PMID: 7901751
- Xiao J, Li J, Yuan L, McCouch SR and Tanksley SD (1996). Genetic diversity and its relationship to hybrid performance and heterosis in rice as revealed by PCR based markers. Theor. Appl. Genet. 92: 637-643 doi: 10.1007/BF00226083 PMID: 24166385
- Yan H, Chengxiao S, Jie M, Yaling C, Chuan T and Jinsong B (2015). Association Mapping of Quantitative Trait Loci for Mineral Element Contents in Whole Grain Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).Chinese journal of rice science. J. Agric. Food Chem. 63 (50): 10885-10892 DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04932.
- Ye C, Argayoso M, Redoña E, Sierra S, Laza M, Dilla C et al. (2012). Mapping QTL for heat tolerance at flowering stage in rice using SNP markers. Plant Breed. 131: 33-41
- Ye C, Fatima AT, Argayoso AM, Marcelino AL, Koh H, Redoña ED, Jagadish KSV and Gregorio GB (2015). Identifying and confirming quantitative trait loci associated with heat tolerance at flowering stage in different rice populations.Genetics 16: 41 doi: 10.1186/s12863-015-0199-7 PMID: 25895682
- Yu JM, Pressoir G, Briggs WH, Vroh BI, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF et al. (2006). A unified mixed model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. Nat Genet. 38(2): 203-208 doi: 10.1038/ng1702 PMID: 16380716
- Zhang Y, Zou M and De T (2012). Association Analysis of Rice Cold Tolerance at Tillering Stage with SSR Markers in japonica Cultivars in Northeast China[J]. Chinase journal of Rice Science 26(4): 423-430
- Zhao K, Tung CW, Eizenga GC et al. (2011). Genome-wide association mapping reveals a rich genetic architecture of complex traits in *Oryza sativa*. Nat. Commun. 2: 467
- Zhao WG, Jong WC, Soon WK, Jeong HL, Kyung HM and Yong JP (2013). Association analysis of physicochemical traits on eating quality in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Euphytica 2013: 191:9-21
- Zhoufei W, Fuhua W, Rong Z, Jianfei W and Hongsheng Z (2011). Identification of quantitative trait loci for cold tolerance during the germination and seedling stages in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Euphytica 181: 405-413 DOI 10.1007/s10681-011-0469-z